FREQUENCY OF NON-RESPONDERS OF STATINS IN ACUTE CORONARY SYNDROME PATIENTS

Omer Aslama, Mohsen Razab, Zainab Asgharc, Farhan Umaird, Syed Ali Hamzae, Salman Munirf

^aDHQ Hospital, Sheikhpura. ^bDHQ Hospital Mandi, Bahauddin. ^cTHQ Hospital, Pindi Bhattian. ^dDHQ Hospital, Pakpattan. ^ePunjab Institute of Cardiology, Lahore, Pakistan. ^fRahbar Medical and Dental College, Lahore.

Date of Submission: 01-10-2022; Date of Acceptance: 08-11-2022; Date of Publication: 15-12-2022

ABSTRACT:

BACKGROUND:

Acute coronary syndromes (ACS) includes non ST elevation (NSTEMI) or ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) along with unstable angina and is associated with high morbidity and mortality despite advances in treatment. Statins is one of the pharmacological agent in the management of acute coronary syndrome (ACS). After remarkable advances in medical management over many years, a significant proportion of patients still do not respond to Statins.

AIMS & OBJECTIVE:

To assess the frequency of non-responders of statins in acute coronary syndrome patients.

MATERIAL & METHODS:

This cross-sectional study was carried-out at Punjab Institute of Cardiology, Lahore (PIC) from August 10, 2015 to February 10, 2016. Total 325 patients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were enrolled and counseled about the details of the study. After taking consent, detailed history and examination was recorded. Details were recorded regarding age, gender of the patient. All patients received 10mg of rosuvastatin. Data stratification was done for age, gender and duration of ACS. Chi-square test was used after stratification to check the significance. p-value was taken as significant when less than 0.05.

RESULTS:

The mean age of non-responders was 56.65±8.75 years. Out 325 patients, 81(24.90%) were non-responders. Mean LDL-C at baseline in non-responders was 197.58±19.08 mg/dl and mean LDL-C after statin therapy in non-responders was 154.64±17.77.

CONCLUSION:

There is a significant number of patients with no response to statins. Lipid-lowering statin regimen gives individuals who have recently experienced ACS increased protection against mortality or experiencing serious cardiovascular events. Results show that such patients benefit from early and ongoing LDL cholesterol reduction.

KEY WORDS:

Acute Coronary Syndrome, Statins, Non-responders.

Correspondence: Omer Aslam, DHQ Hospital, Sheikhpura, Pakistan. Email: dromeraslam@gmail.com

Author's Contribution: OA: Wrote the article. MR: Conducting the study. ZA: Data analysis and corrections in manuscript. FU: Helped in data collection and analysis. AH: Manuscript proof reading. SM: Proof reading of the manuscript.



INTRODUCTION:

nstable angina, NSTEMI and STEMI are included in the category of ACS which is associated with increased mortality and morbidity. Reviewing the update report of Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics 2008 (AHA) there were 1,413,000 hospital discharges in US due to ACS in 2005.2 Out of which 20% were due to ST elevation MI and rest were due to unstable angina and STEMI.² The estimated prevalence of NSTE-ACS is approximately 3 people out of 1000.2 In the UK, about 114,000 patients with NSTE-ACS are admitted every year. 1,3 The treatment consists of antithrombotic regime and diagnostic coronary angiography followed by revascularization if required. Statins is one of the main ways to treat acute coronary syndrome (ACS). Despite advances in treatment over many years; a significant proportion of patients still do not respond to Statins.4 Thus the problem of ACS treatment of these patients leads to re treatment.⁵

This study was done to estimate the frequency of statin therapy non-responders in ACS patients.

METHODOLOGY:

This cross-sectional observational study was carried-out at PIC, Lahore from August 10, 2015 to February 10, 2016. Total 325 patients who presented in the Punjab Institute of Cardiology, Lahore. Patients were enrolled according to inclusion criteria. Written consent was taken and detailed history was obtained. Details were collected regarding age, gender of the patient and data stratified as age, gender and duration of ACS. Chi-square test was applied to the data and p-value was taken significant if ≤0.05. Sample size of 325 cases was estimated using 5% error margin and 95% confidence level, taking expected percentage of non-responders of statin, i.e. 30% in acute coronary syndrome.⁴

Patients of both sex groups with ages more than 30 years and less than 75 with ACS (It was assessed on symptoms of chest pain more than 30 minutes and ECG changes (ST elevation > 1 mm in limb leads) cardiac enzyme level trop T > 0.1) in PIC emergency department within or less than 72 hours of chest pain. Patients having hyperlipedimeia having LDL-c > 160 mg/dL when presented). All patients were enrolled in the study after informed consent. ACS Patients having hyperlipedimeia LDL-c < 160 mg/dl.³ ACS patients who were non responders (patients were considered as non-responders if there were less than 30% reduction in LDL-C from the untreated baseline. Reduction was calculated

by subtracting the post treatment value after 6 months from present value) but their required data is not available in the record and patients already taking statins were excluded. Patients fulfilling the above mentioned inclusion criteria were counseled about the research. Patients were presented in PIC emergency having chest pain, ECG findings and cardiac enzyme positive treated with anti-ischemic drugs like aspirin, clopidogril and anti-thrombolytics like streptokinase and heparin. Then moderate intensity statin rosuvastatin 10 mg was given. Patients were selected in which LDL-c level > 160 mg/dL. After taking rosuvastatin for 6 months LDL-c was checked again.

DATA ANALYSIS PROCEDURE:

The data was analysed using SPSS 23. Numerical variables i.e. age, LDL-C level before and after treatment and duration of ACS were presented by mean ±SD and range. Categorical variables were presented i.e. gender and non-responders as frequency and percentage. Data stratification was done for age, gender and duration of ACS. Chi-square test was used after stratification to check the significance and p-value was taken as significant if <0.05.

RESULTS:

Out of 325 ACS patients, 244 (75.10%) patients were males and 81 (24.90%) were females. Among these patients, 94(28.90%) were between 30-45 age group, while 158(48.60%), 73(22.50%) were between 46-60 and 61-75 age groups respectively. Mean age of the patients was 52.16 ± 9.93 years. Mean LDL-C at baseline was 196 ± 19.93 and LDL-C after statin therapy was 119.29 ± 28.89 mg/dl. Mean age of male patients was 52.28 ± 9.99 years and mean age of female patients was 51.82 ± 9.77 years. (Table-1)

Mean LDL-C at baseline in male patients was 196.72±20.36 mg/dl and mean LDL-C after statin therapy in male patients was 120.82±29.32 mg/dl. Mean LDL-C at baseline in female patients was 193.86±18.71 mg/dl and mean LDL-C after statin therapy in female patients was 114.67±27.17 mg/dl. (Table-2) With respect to gender there was no difference in non responders. (Table-3)

Mean age of non-responders was 56.65 ± 8.75 . Mean LDL-C at baseline in non-responders was 197.58 ± 19.08 and mean LDL-C after statin therapy in non-responders was 154.64 ± 17.77 . Mean age of responders was 50.67 ± 9.86 years. Mean LDL-C at baseline in responders was 195.47 ± 20.23 mg/dl and mean LDL-C after statin therapy in responders was 107.55 ± 21.29



Table-1: Distribution of Gender, Age and Non-responders				
		Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	
Gender	Male	244	75.1	
	Female	81	24.9	
Age Groups	30-45 years	94	28.9	
	46-60 years	158	48.6	
	61-75 years	73	22.5	
Non-Responders	Yes	81	24.9	
	No	244	75.1	

Table-2: Descriptive statistics					
Statistics	LDL-Cholesterol (Initial Value)	LDL-Cholesterol (After Therapy)	Age	Duration of ACS	
Mean	196.00	119.28	52.16	38.14	
Median	195	118	51	38	
Std. Deviation	19.94	28.88	9.92	19.92	
Minimum	161	50	30	6	
Maximum	230	190	73	72	

Table-3: Stratification of Non-responders with respect to Gender						
Gender	Non-Responders		Total	P-value		
	Yes	No				
Male	66 (27.0%)	178 (73.0%)	244 (100.0%)	0.124		
Female	15 (18.5%)	66 (81.5%)	81 (100.0%)			
Total	81 (24.9%)	244 (75.1%)	325 (100.0%)			

Table-4: Stratification of Non-responders with respect to Age and ACS duration						
		Non-Responders		Total	P-value	
		Yes	No			
Age Groups	30-45	9 (9.6%)	85 (90.4%)	94 (100.0%)	0.000	
	46-60	42 (26.6%)	116 (73.4%)	158 (100.0%)		
	61-75	30 (41.1%)	43 (58.9%)	73 (100.0%)		
Duration of ACS	6-24 hours	32 (33.0%)	65 (67.0%)	97 (100.0%)	0.090	
	25-48 hours	24 (21.4%)	88 (78.6%)	112 (100.0%)		
	49-72 hours	25 (21.6%)	91 (78.4%)	116 (100.0%)		

mg/dl. Non responders are described according to the duration of ACS in Table-4.

It was also concluded that, out 325 patients, 81(24.90%) were non-responders and 244(75.10%) were responders.

DISCUSSION:

The use of high dose statins is associated with lower major adverse cardiac events than a moderate or low dose statin therapy. Previous

placebo controlled trials have indicated that a standard dose statins were beneficial.⁶

In the Heart Protection study, the use of statins resulted in reduction of LDL cholesterol level by was 40 mg per deciliter (1.03 mmol per liter) as compared to placebo group and was associated with a 25 percent reduction in major adverse cardiovascular events. In our study, the LDL cholesterol level was reduced by 33mg per deciliter



(0.85 mmol per liter) by use of the statins. This LDL lowering may be associated with a reduction of major adverse events i.e. a 20% reduction. However, the variability in the reduction may also be attributed to non–lipid-related pleiotropic effects, which may differ between the different types of statins.⁷ New trials have focused on the effectiveness of different doses of statins. It is now suggested that high dose statins are associated with lower adverse events than lower doses. It is indicated in another study that statins in high doses are bound to reduce unstable angina events by 29% and a 14% reduction in revascularization procedures.

The reduction in mortality rate was of borderline significance (28 percent, P=0.07), which suggests that use of high dose statins is more important to reduce future adverse cardiac events. This reduction in adverse events was more pronounced during first 30 days. This is similar to that reported placebocontrolled MIRACL trial 8 and in prior observational studies.⁹ In our study, most of the patients of ACS were managed by early invasive treatment while rest of the study population received optimized medical treatment including statins. It was noticed that more intense statin therapy was associated lesser adverse cardiac events.⁶ The early use of statins in patients prone to have cardiac problems is associated with more benefit and can stabilize the vulnerable plaques. 10

The latest guidelines by ACC and AHA recommend starting lipid lowering treatment while discharging the patients admitted with ACS, considering that it will improve the patients'

outcomes.¹¹ The data of our study also favours this

Apart from the early management of clinical events, we also assessed a continued benefit of intensive medical management with statin throughout the follow-up duration. However, it could not be rule-out from this research that long-term benefit was due to statin therapy or it was the result of an early management of acute event.

Additionally, it is unclear whether additional variations between the two statins utilised account for the noted therapeutic improvement. However, our results indicate that when high-dose statin medication is continued, patients with acute coronary syndromes who get early lipid-lowering therapy continue to benefit in the chronic phase of atherosclerosis.

Our findings that intensive lipid-lowering medication continues to benefit patients during the follow-up phase are consistent with studies demonstrating that this strategy slows the progression of atherosclerosis in people with stable coronary artery disease¹² or in those who undergo coronary-artery bypass grafting,¹³ as well as in greater reductions in carotid intimal–medial thickening.¹⁴

CONCLUSION:

There is considerable number of patients who do not respond to standard hyperlipidemia treatment. A lipid-lowering statin regimen gives individuals who have recently experienced an acute coronary syndrome increased protection against mortality or experiencing serious cardiovascular events. Results show that such patients benefit from early and ongoing LDL cholesterol reduction. In older age group non responders are more than in younger age group.



References:

- 1. Huffman JC, Celano CM, Januzzi JL. The relationship between depression, anxiety, and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with acute coronary syndromes. Neuropsychiatric Disease and treatment. 2010;6:123.
- 2. Lerner EB, Rea TD, Bobrow BJ, Acker JE, Berg RA, Brooks SC, et al. Emergency Medical Service Dispatch Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Prearrival Instructions to Improve Survival From Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2012;125(4):648-55.
- 3. Stone NJ, Merz CNB, ScM F, Blum FCB, McBride FP, Eckel FRH, et al. ACC/AHA guideline on the treatment of blood cholesterol to reduce atherosclerotic cardiovascular risk in adults. 2013.
- Angeli F, Reboldi G, Mazzotta G, Garofoli M, Cerasa MF, Verdecchia P. Statins in acute coronary syndrome: very early initiation and benefits. Therapeutic advances in cardiovascular disease. 2012:1753944712452463.
- 5. Vale N, Nordmann AJ, Schwartz GG, de Lemos J, Colivicchi F, den Hartog F, et al. Statins for acute coronary syndrome. The Cochrane Library. 2012.
- 6. Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study Group. Randomised trial of cholesterol lowering in 4 444 patients with coronary heart disease: the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (4S). Lancet 1994;344:1383-9.
- Sacks RM, Pfeffer MA, Moye LA, et al. The effect of pravastatin on coronary events after myocardial infarction in patients with average cholesterol levels. N Engl J Med 1996;335:1001-9.

- 8. The Long-Term Intervention with Pravastatin in Ischaemic Disease (LIPID) Study Group. Prevention of cardiovascular events and death with pravastatin in patients with coronary heart disease and a broad range of initial cholesterol levels. N Engl J Med 1998;339:1349-57.
- 9. Heart Protection Study Collaborative Group. MRC/BHF Heart Protection Study of cholesterol lowering with simvastatin in 20 536 high-risk individuals: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2002;360:7-22.
- Shepard J, Cobbe SM, Ford I, et al. Prevention of coronary heart disease with pravastatin in men with hypercholesterolemia. N Engl J Med 1995;333:1301-7.
- Downs JR, Clearfield M, Weis S, et al. Primary prevention of acute coronary events with lovastatin in men and women with average cholesterol levels: results of AFCAPS/ TexCAPS: Air Force/Texas Coronary Atherosclerosis Prevention Study. JAMA 1998;279:1615-22.
- 12. Shepherd J, Blauw GJ, Murphy MB, et al. Pravastatin in elderly individuals at risk of vascular disease (PROSPER): a randomized controlled trial. Lancet 2002;360:1623-30.
- 13. Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults. Executive summary of the Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). JAMA 2001;285:2486-97.
- 14. Stenestrand U, Wallentin L. Early statin treatment following acute myocardial infarction and 1-year survival. JAMA 2001;285:430-6.